COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5269-01

Bill No.: HB 1714

Subject: Abortion

Type: Original

Date: February 1, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Unborn Child Protection from

Dismemberment Abortion Act.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5269-01 Bill No. HB 1714 Page 2 of 4 February 1, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** state the proposed legislation would require the Board of Registration for the Healing Arts to hold hearings on whether or not a dismemberment abortion was necessary. These hearings may require holding special board meetings and may require the board to obtain copies of medical records and have the records reviewed by a member of board staff and then reviewed by the full board. The DIFP believes this can be accomplished under existing appropriation; however, if higher-than-expected hearing requests result from the implementation of this bill, additional FTE and/or expenses would be requested through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Oversight assumes the potential responsibilities imposed on county prosecutors as a result of this proposal, will be absorbable within current funding and staffing levels.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Office of State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of State Public Defender** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 5269-01 Bill No. HB 1714 Page 4 of 4 February 1, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions
and Professional Registration
Department of Corrections
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of State Public Defender

Not Responding
Office of Attorney General

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 1, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 1, 2016